

# Package ‘Compind’

March 25, 2024

**Type** Package

**Title** Composite Indicators Functions

**Version** 3.1

**Date** 2024-03-25

**Author** Francesco Vidoli, Elisa Fusco

**Maintainer** Francesco Vidoli <fvidoli@gmail.com>

**Description** A collection of functions to calculate Composite Indicators methods, focusing, in particular, on the normalisation and weighting-aggregation steps, as described in OECD Handbook on constructing composite indicators: methodology and user guide <[https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/economics/handbook-on-constructing-composite-indicators-methodology-and-user-guide\\_9789264043466-en](https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/economics/handbook-on-constructing-composite-indicators-methodology-and-user-guide_9789264043466-en)>, 'Vidoli' and 'Fusco' and 'Mazziotta' <[doi:10.1007/s11205-014-0710-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-014-0710-y)>, 'Mazziotta' and 'Pareto' (2016) <[doi:10.1007/s11205-015-0998-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-015-0998-2)>, 'Van Puyenbroeck' and 'Rogge' <[doi:10.1016/j.ejor.2016.07.038](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2016.07.038)> and other authors.

**Depends** R (>= 3.5.0), Benchmarking, psych, boot, lpSolve, spdep

**Imports** Hmisc, MASS, GPArotation, nonparaeff, smaa, np, FactoMineR, GWmodel, sp, Rcompadre

**License** GPL-3

**Suggests** R.rsp

**VignetteBuilder** R.rsp

**NeedsCompilation** no

**Repository** CRAN

**Date/Publication** 2024-03-25 12:42:47 UTC

## R topics documented:

Compind-package . . . . .	2
bandwidth_CI . . . . .	3
BLI_2017 . . . . .	4
ci_ampi . . . . .	5
ci_bod . . . . .	7

ci_bod_constr . . . . .	8
ci_bod_constr_bad . . . . .	10
ci_bod_dir . . . . .	11
ci_bod_mdir . . . . .	12
ci_bod_var_w . . . . .	13
ci_factor . . . . .	15
ci_factor_mixed . . . . .	16
ci_generalized_mean . . . . .	17
ci_geom_bod_intertemp . . . . .	18
ci_geom_gen . . . . .	19
ci_mean_min . . . . .	21
ci_mpi . . . . .	22
ci_ogwa . . . . .	23
ci_owa . . . . .	25
ci_rbod . . . . .	27
ci_rbod_constr_bad . . . . .	28
ci_rbod_constr_bad_Q . . . . .	30
ci_rbod_dir . . . . .	32
ci_rbod_mdir . . . . .	33
ci_rbod_spatial . . . . .	34
ci_smaa_constr . . . . .	35
ci_wroclaw . . . . .	38
data_HPI . . . . .	40
EU_2020 . . . . .	41
EU_NUTS1 . . . . .	42
normalise_ci . . . . .	43
<b>Index</b>	<b>45</b>

---

Compind-package	<i>Composite Indicators - Compind</i>
-----------------	---------------------------------------

---

## Description

Compind package contains functions to enhance several approaches to the Composite Indicators (CIs) methods, focusing, in particular, on the normalisation and weighting-aggregation steps.

## Author(s)

Francesco Vidoli, Elisa Fusco Maintainer: Francesco Vidoli <fvidoli@gmail.com>

## References

- Daraio, C., Simar, L. (2005) "Introducing environmental variables in nonparametric frontier models: a probabilistic approach", *Journal of productivity analysis*, 24(1), 93-121.
- Fusco E. (2015) "Enhancing non compensatory composite indicators: A directional proposal", *European Journal of Operational Research*, 242(2), 620-630.

- Fusco E. (2023) "*Potential improvements approach in composite indicators construction: the Multi-directional Benefit of the Doubt model*", Socio-Economic Planning Sciences, vol. 85, 101447
- Fusco, E., Liborio, M.P., Rabiei-Dastjerdi, H., Vidoli, F., Brunsdon, C. and Ekel, P.I. (2023), Harnessing Spatial Heterogeneity in Composite Indicators through the Ordered Geographically Weighted Averaging (OGWA) Operator. Geographical Analysis. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gean.12384>
- R. Lahdelma, P. Salminen (2001) "*SMAA-2: Stochastic multicriteria acceptability analysis for group decision making*", Operations Research, 49(3), pp. 444-454
- OECD (2008) "*Handbook on constructing composite indicators: methodology and user guide*".
- Mazziotta C., Mazziotta M., Pareto A., Vidoli F. (2010) "*La sintesi di indicatori territoriali di dotazione infrastrutturale: metodi di costruzione e procedure di ponderazione a confronto*", Rivista di Economia e Statistica del territorio, n.1.
- Melyn W. and Moesen W.W. (1991) "*Towards a synthetic indicator of macroeconomic performance: unequal weighting when limited information is available*", Public Economic research Paper 17, CES, KU Leuven.
- Van Puyenbroeck T. and Rogge N. (2017) "*Geometric mean quantity index numbers with Benefit-of-the-Doubt weights*", European Journal of Operational Research, 256(3), 1004-1014.
- Rogge N., de Jaeger S. and Lavigne C. (2017) "*Waste Performance of NUTS 2-regions in the EU: A Conditional Directional Distance Benefit-of-the-Doubt Model*", Ecological Economics, vol.139, pp. 19-32.
- Simar L., Vanhems A. (2012) "*Probabilistic characterization of directional distances and their robust versions*", Journal of Econometrics, 166(2), 342-354.
- UNESCO (1974) "*Social indicators: problems of definition and of selection*", Paris.
- Vidoli F., Fusco E., Mazziotta C. (2015) "*Non-compensability in composite indicators: a robust directional frontier method*", Social Indicators Research, 122(3), 635-652.
- Vidoli F., Mazziotta C. (2013) "*Robust weighted composite indicators by means of frontier methods with an application to European infrastructure endowment*", Statistica Applicata, Italian Journal of Applied Statistics.
- Zanella A., Camanho A.S. and Dias T.G. (2015) "*Undesirable outputs and weighting schemes in composite indicators based on data envelopment analysis*", European Journal of Operational Research, vol. 245(2), pp. 517-530.

---

bandwidth\_CI

*Multivariate mixed bandwidth selection for exogenous variables*


---

### Description

A function for the selection of optimal multivariate mixed bandwidths for the kernel density estimation of continuous and discrete exogenous variables.

### Usage

```
bandwidth_CI(x, indic_col, ngood, nbad, Q=NULL, Q_ord=NULL)
```

**Arguments**

x	A data frame containing simple indicators.
indic_col	Simple indicators column number.
ngood	The number of desirable outputs; it has to be greater than 0.
nbad	The number of undesirable outputs; it has to be greater than 0.
Q	A matrix containing continuous exogenous variables.
Q_ord	A matrix containing discrete exogenous variables.

**Details**

Author thanks Nicky Rogge for his help and for making available the original code of the bandwidth function.

**Value**

bandwidth	A matrix containing the optimal bandwidths for the exogenous variables indicate in Q and Q_ord.
ci_method	"bandwidth_CI"

**Author(s)**

Fusco E., Rogge N.

**Examples**

```
data(EU_2020)
indic <- c("employ_2011", "gasemiss_2011", "deprived_2011")
dat <- EU_2020[-c(10,18),indic]
Q_GDP <- EU_2020[-c(10,18),"percGDP_2011"]

# Conditional robust BoD Constrained VWR
band = bandwidth_CI(dat, ngood=1, nbad=2, Q = Q_GDP)
```

---

BLI\_2017

*Better Life Index 2017 indicators*


---

**Description**

Data related to BLI Edition 2017 (OECD, 2017) for all 38 OECD and non-OECD countries (Data extracted on: 19\02\2020).

For more info, please see <https://data-explorer.oecd.org>.

**Usage**

```
data(BLI_2017)
```

**Format**

BLI\_2017 is a dataset with 38 observations and 12 indicators.

**country** OECD and non-OECD countries.

**housing** Housing.

**income** Income and wealth.

**jobs** Jobs and earnings.

**community** Community engagement.

**education** Education.

**environment** Environment quality.

**civic** Civic engagement.

**health** Health.

**satisfaction** Life satisfaction.

**safety** Personal security (safety).

**worklife** Work-Life balance.

**Author(s)**

Fusco E.

**Examples**

```
data(BLI_2017)
```

---

ci\_ampi

*Adjusted Mazziotta-Pareto Index (AMPI) method*

---

**Description**

Adjusted Mazziotta-Pareto Index (AMPI) is a non-compensatory composite index that allows to take into account the time dimension, too. The calculation part is similar to the MPI framework, but the standardization part make the scores obtained over the years comparable.

**Usage**

```
ci_ampi(x, indic_col, gp, time, polarity, penalty = "NEG")
```

**Arguments**

x	A data.frame containing simple indicators in a Long Data Format.
indic_col	Simple indicators column number.
gp	Goalposts; to facilitate the interpretation of results, the goalposts can be chosen so that 100 represents a reference value (e.g., the average in a given year).
time	The time variable (mandatory); if the analysis is carried out over a single year, it is necessary to create a constant variable (i.e. dataframe@year <- 2014).
polarity	Polarity vector: "POS" = positive, "NEG" = negative. The polarity of a individual indicator is the sign of the relationship between the indicator and the phenomenon to be measured (e.g., in a well-being index, "GDP per capita" has 'positive' polarity and "Unemployment rate" has 'negative' polarity).
penalty	Penalty direction; Use "NEG" (default) in case of 'increasing' or 'positive' composite index (e.g., well-being index), "POS" in case of 'decreasing' or 'negative' composite index (e.g., poverty index).

**Details**

Author thanks Leonardo Alaimo for their help and for making available the original code of the AMPI function. Federico Roscioli for his integrations to the original code.

**Value**

An object of class "CI". This is a list containing the following elements:

ci_ampi_est	Composite indicator estimated values.
ci_method	Method used; for this function ci_method="ampi".
ci_penalty	Matrix containing penalties only.
ci_norm	List containing only the normalised indicators for each year.

**Author(s)**

Fusco E., Alaimo L., Giovagnoli C., Patelli L., F. Roscioli

**References**

Mazziotta, M., Pareto, A. (2013) "*A Non-compensatory Composite Index for Measuring Well-being over Time*", Cogito. Multidisciplinary Research Journal Vol. V, no. 4, pp. 93-104

Mazziotta, M., Pareto, A. (2016). "*On a Generalized Non-compensatory Composite Index for Measuring Socio-economic Phenomena*", Cogito. Social Indicators Research, Vol. 127, no. 3, pp. 983-1003

**See Also**

[ci\\_bod](#), [normalise\\_ci](#)

**Examples**

```

data(EU_2020)

data_test = EU_2020[,c("employ_2010", "employ_2011", "finalenergy_2010", "finalenergy_2011")]

EU_2020_long<-reshape(data_test,
                      varying=c("employ_2010", "employ_2011", "finalenergy_2010", "finalenergy_2011"),
                      direction="long",
                      idvar="geo",
                      sep="_")

CI <- ci_ampi(EU_2020_long,
              indic_col=c(2:3),
              gp=c(50, 100),
              time=EU_2020_long[,1],
              polarity= c("POS", "POS"),
              penalty="POS")

CI$ci_ampi_est
CI$ci_penalty
CI$ci_norm

```

---

ci\_bod

*Benefit of the Doubt approach (BoD)*


---

**Description**

Benefit of the Doubt approach (BoD) is the application of Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) to the field of composite indicators. It was originally proposed by Melyn and Moesen (1991) to evaluate macroeconomic performance.

**Usage**

```
ci_bod(x, indic_col)
```

**Arguments**

x	A data.frame containing simple indicators.
indic_col	A numeric list indicating the positions of the simple indicators.

**Value**

An object of class "CI". This is a list containing the following elements:

ci_bod_est	Composite indicator estimated values.
ci_method	Method used; for this function ci_method="bod".
ci_bod_weights	Raw weights assigned to the simple indicators (Dual values - prices - in the dual DEA formulation).

**Author(s)**

Vidoli F.

**References**

OECD (2008) "*Handbook on constructing composite indicators: methodology and user guide*".

Melyn W. and Moesen W.W. (1991) "*Towards a synthetic indicator of macroeconomic performance: unequal weighting when limited information is available*", Public Economic research Paper 17, CES, KU Leuven.

Witte, K. D., Rogge, N. (2009) "*Accounting for exogenous influences in a benevolent performance evaluation of teachers*". Tech. rept. Working Paper Series ces0913, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Centrum voor Economische Studien.

**See Also**

[ci\\_bod\\_dir](#), [ci\\_rbod](#)

**Examples**

```
i1 <- seq(0.3, 0.5, len = 100) - rnorm(100, 0.2, 0.03)
i2 <- seq(0.3, 1, len = 100) - rnorm(100, 0.2, 0.03)
Indic = data.frame(i1, i2)
CI = ci_bod(Indic)
# validating BoD score
w = CI$ci_bod_weights
Indic[,1]*w[,1] + Indic[,2]*w[,2]
```

```
data(EU_NUTS1)
data_norm = normalise_ci(EU_NUTS1,c(2:3),polarity = c("POS","POS"), method=2)
CI = ci_bod(data_norm$ci_norm,c(1:2))
```

---

ci\_bod\_constr

*Constrained Benefit of the Doubt approach (BoD)*

---

**Description**

The constrained Benefit of the Doubt function lets to introduce additional constraints to the weight variation in the optimization procedure so that all the weights obtained are greater than a lower value (low\_w) and less than an upper value (up\_w).

**Usage**

```
ci_bod_constr(x, indic_col, up_w, low_w)
```



**Arguments**

x	A data.frame containing simple indicators.
indic_col	A numeric list indicating the positions of the simple indicators.
up_w	Importance weights upper bound.
low_w	Importance weights lower bound.

**Value**

An object of class "CI". This is a list containing the following elements:

ci_bod_constr_est	Constrained composite indicator estimated values.
ci_method	Method used; for this function ci_method="bod_constrained".
ci_bod_constr_weights	Raw constrained weights assigned to the simple indicators.

**Author(s)**

Rogge N., Vidoli F.

**References**

Van Puyenbroeck T. and Rogge N. (2017) "*Geometric mean quantity index numbers with Benefit-of-the-Doubt weights*", European Journal of Operational Research, Volume 256, Issue 3, Pages 1004 - 1014.

**See Also**

[ci\\_bod\\_dir,ci\\_bod](#)

**Examples**

```
i1 <- seq(0.3, 0.5, len = 100) - rnorm(100, 0.2, 0.03)
i2 <- seq(0.3, 1, len = 100) - rnorm(100, 0.2, 0.03)
Indic = data.frame(i1, i2)
CI = ci_bod_constr(Indic,up_w=1,low_w=0.05)

data(EU_NUTS1)
data_norm = normalise_ci(EU_NUTS1,c(2:3),polarity = c("POS","POS"), method=2)
CI = ci_bod_constr(data_norm$ci_norm,c(1:2),up_w=1,low_w=0.05)
```

---

ci_bod_constr_bad	<i>Constrained Benefit of the Doubt approach (BoD) in presence of undesirable indicators</i>
-------------------	--

---

### Description

The constrained Benefit of the Doubt function introduces additional constraints to the weight variation in the optimization procedure (Constrained Virtual Weights Restriction) allowing to restrict the importance attached to a single indicator expressed in percentage terms, ranging between a lower and an upper bound (VWR); this function, furthermore, allows to calculate the composite indicator simultaneously in presence of undesirable (bad) and desirable (good) indicators allowing to impose a preference structure (ordVWR).

### Usage

```
ci_bod_constr_bad(x, indic_col, ngood=1, nbad=1, low_w=0, pref=NULL)
```

### Arguments

x	A data.frame containing simple indicators; the order is important: first columns must contain the desirable indicators, while second ones the undesirable indicators.
indic_col	A numeric list indicating the positions of the simple indicators.
ngood	The number of desirable outputs; it has to be greater than 0.
nbad	The number of undesirable outputs; it has to be greater than 0.
low_w	Importance weights lower bound.
pref	The preference vector among indicators; For example if Indic1 is the most important, Indic2,Indic3 are more important than Indic4 and no preference judgment on Indic5 (= not included in the vector), the pref vector can be written as: c("Indic1", "Indic2", "Indic3", "Indic4")

### Value

An object of class "CI". This is a list containing the following elements:

ci_bod_constr_bad_est	Composite indicator estimated values.
ci_method	Method used; for this function ci_method="bod_constr_bad".
ci_bod_constr_bad_weights	Raw weights assigned to each simple indicator.
ci_bod_constr_bad_target	Indicator target values.

### Author(s)

Fusco E., Rogge N.

## References

Rogge N., de Jaeger S. and Lavigne C. (2017) "Waste Performance of NUTS 2-regions in the EU: A Conditional Directional Distance Benefit-of-the-Doubt Model", *Ecological Economics*, vol.139, pp. 19-32.

Zanella A., Camanho A.S. and Dias T.G. (2015) "Undesirable outputs and weighting schemes in composite indicators based on data envelopment analysis", *European Journal of Operational Research*, vol. 245(2), pp. 517-530.

## See Also

[ci\\_bod\\_constr](#)

## Examples

```
data(EU_2020)
indic <- c("employ_2011", "percGDP_2011", "gasemiss_2011", "deprived_2011")
dat <- EU_2020[-c(10,18),indic]

# BoD Constrained VWR
CI_BoD_C = ci_bod_constr_bad(dat, ngood=2, nbad=2, low_w=0.05, pref=NULL)
CI_BoD_C$ci_bod_constr_bad_est

# BoD Constrained ordVWR
importance <- c("gasemiss_2011", "percGDP_2011", "employ_2011")
CI_BoD_C = ci_bod_constr_bad(dat, ngood=2, nbad=2, low_w=0.05, pref=importance)
CI_BoD_C$ci_bod_constr_bad_est
```

---

ci\_bod\_dir

*Directional Benefit of the Doubt (D-BoD) model*

---

## Description

Directional Benefit of the Doubt (D-BoD) model enhance non-compensatory property by introducing directional penalties in a standard BoD model in order to consider the preference structure among simple indicators.

## Usage

```
ci_bod_dir(x, indic_col, dir)
```

## Arguments

x	A data.frame containing score of the simple indicators.
indic_col	Simple indicators column number.
dir	Main direction. For example you can set the average rates of substitution.

**Value**

An object of class "CI". This is a list containing the following elements:

ci\_bod\_dir\_est Composite indicator estimated values.  
 ci\_method Method used; for this function ci\_method="bod\_dir".

**Author(s)**

Vidoli F., Fusco E.

**References**

Fusco E. (2015) "*Enhancing non compensatory composite indicators: A directional proposal*", European Journal of Operational Research, 242(2), 620-630.

**See Also**

[ci\\_bod](#), [ci\\_rbod](#)

**Examples**

```
i1 <- seq(0.3, 0.5, len = 100) - rnorm(100, 0.2, 0.03)
i2 <- seq(0.3, 1, len = 100) - rnorm(100, 0.2, 0.03)
Indic = data.frame(i1, i2)
CI = ci_bod_dir(Indic, dir=c(1,1))

data(EU_NUTS1)
data_norm = normalise_ci(EU_NUTS1, c(2:3), polarity = c("POS", "POS"), method=2)
CI = ci_bod_dir(data_norm$ci_norm, c(1:2), dir=c(1,0.5))
```

---

ci\_bod\_mdir

*Multi-directional Benefit of the Doubt approach (MDBoD)*

---

**Description**

Multi-directional Benefit of the Doubt (MDBoD) allows to introduce the non-compensability among simple indicators in a standard BOD in an objective manner: the preference structure, i.e., the direction, is determined directly from the data and is specific for each unit.

**Usage**

```
ci_bod_mdir(x, indic_col)
```

**Arguments**

x A data.frame containing simple indicators.  
 indic\_col A numeric list indicating the positions of the simple indicators.

**Value**

An object of class "CI". This is a list containing the following elements:

```
ci_bod_mdir_est      Composite indicator estimated values.
ci_method            Method used; for this function ci_method="bod".
ci_bod_mdir_spec     Simple indicators specific scores.
ci_bod_mdir_dir      Directions for each simple indicator and unit.
```

**Author(s)**

Fusco E.

**References**

Fusco E. (2023) "*Potential improvements approach in composite indicators construction: the Multi-directional Benefit of the Doubt model*", Socio-Economic Planning Sciences, vol. 85, 101447

**See Also**

[ci\\_bod\\_dir](#), [ci\\_rbod\\_mdir](#)

**Examples**

```
data(BLI_2017)
CI <- ci_bod_mdir(BLI_2017,c(2:12))
```

---

ci_bod_var_w	<i>Variance weighted Benefit of the Doubt approach (BoD variance weighted)</i>
--------------	--

---

**Description**

Variance weighted Benefit of the Doubt approach (BoD variance weighted) is a particular form of BoD method with additional information in the optimization problem. In particular it has been added weight constraints (in form of an Assurance region type I (AR I)) endogenously determined in order to take into account the ratio of the vertical variability of each simple indicator relative to one another.

**Usage**

```
ci_bod_var_w(x,indic_col,boot_rep = 5000)
```

## Arguments

x	A data.frame containing score of the simple indicators.
indic_col	Simple indicators column number.
boot_rep	The number of bootstrap replicates (default=5000) for the estimates of the non-parametric bootstrap (first order normal approximation) confidence intervals for the variances of the simple indicators.

## Details

For more informations about the estimation of the confidence interval for the variances, please see function *boot.ci*, package *boot*.

## Value

An object of class "CI". This is a list containing the following elements:

ci_bod_var_w_est	Composite indicator estimated values.
ci_method	Method used; for this function ci_method="bod_var_w".

## Author(s)

Vidoli F.

## References

Vidoli F., Mazziotta C. (2013) "*Robust weighted composite indicators by means of frontier methods with an application to European infrastructure endowment*", *Statistica Applicata, Italian Journal of Applied Statistics*.

## See Also

[ci\\_bod](#), [ci\\_rbod](#)

## Examples

```
i1 <- seq(0.3, 0.5, len = 100) - rnorm(100, 0.2, 0.03)
i2 <- seq(0.3, 1, len = 100) - rnorm(100, 0.2, 0.03)
Indic = data.frame(i1, i2)
CI = ci_bod_var_w(Indic)
```

---

`ci_factor`*Weighting method based on Factor Analysis*

---

**Description**

Factor analysis groups together collinear simple indicators to estimate a composite indicator that captures as much as possible of the information common to individual indicators.

**Usage**

```
ci_factor(x, indic_col, method="ONE", dim)
```

**Arguments**

<code>x</code>	A data.frame containing score of the simple indicators.
<code>indic_col</code>	Simple indicators column number.
<code>method</code>	If <code>method = "ONE"</code> (default) the composite indicator estimated values are equal to first component scores; if <code>method = "ALL"</code> the composite indicator estimated values are equal to component score multiplied by its proportion variance; if <code>method = "CH"</code> it can be choose the number of the component to take into account.
<code>dim</code>	Number of chosen component (if <code>method = "CH"</code> , default is 3).

**Value**

An object of class "CI". This is a list containing the following elements:

<code>ci_factor_est</code>	Composite indicator estimated values.
<code>loadings_fact</code>	Variance explained by principal factors (in percentage terms).
<code>ci_method</code>	Method used; for this function <code>ci_method="factor"</code> .

**Author(s)**

Vidoli F.

**References**

OECD (2008) "*Handbook on constructing composite indicators: methodology and user guide*".

**See Also**

[ci\\_bod](#), [ci\\_mpi](#)

**Examples**

```

i1 <- seq(0.3, 0.5, len = 100) - rnorm(100, 0.2, 0.03)
i2 <- seq(0.3, 1, len = 100) - rnorm(100, 0.2, 0.03)
Indic = data.frame(i1, i2)
CI = ci_factor(Indic)

data(EU_NUTS1)
CI = ci_factor(EU_NUTS1,c(2:3), method="ALL")

data(EU_2020)
data_norm = normalise_ci(EU_2020,c(47:51),polarity = c("POS", "POS", "POS", "POS", "POS"), method=2)
CI3 = ci_factor(data_norm$ci_norm,c(1:5),method="CH", dim=3)

```

---

ci_factor_mixed	<i>Weighting method based on Factor analysis of mixed data (FAMD)</i>
-----------------	---

---

**Description**

Factor analysis of mixed data (FAMD) can be seen as a principal component method dedicated to analyze a data set containing both quantitative and qualitative variables making possible to compute composite indicators taking into account continuous, dummy, or factor variables

**Usage**

```
ci_factor_mixed(x, indic_col, method="ONE", dim)
```

**Arguments**

x	A data.frame containing score of the simple indicators.
indic_col	Simple indicators column number.
method	If method = "ONE" (default) the composite indicator estimated values are equal to first component scores; if method = "ALL" the composite indicator estimated values are equal to component score multiplied by its proportion variance; if method = "CH" it can be choose the number of the component to take into account.
dim	Number of chosen component (if method = "CH", default is 3).

**Value**

An object of class "CI". This is a list containing the following elements:

ci_factor_est	Composite indicator estimated values.
loadings_fact	Variance explained by principal factors (in percentage terms).
ci_method	Method used; for this function ci_method="factor_mixed".

**Author(s)**

Luis Carlos Castillo Tellez



**See Also**[ci\\_bod](#), [ci\\_factor](#)**Examples**

```

i1 <- seq(0.3, 0.5, len = 100) - rnorm (100, 0.2, 0.03)
i2 <- seq(0.3, 1, len = 100) - rnorm (100, 0.2, 0.03)
i3 <- seq(0, 1, len = 100)
i3 = as.factor(iffelse(i3>0.5,1,0))
Indic = data.frame(i1, i2, i3)

CI = ci_factor_mixed(Indic,c(1:3))
CI2 = ci_factor_mixed(Indic,c(1:3), method="ALL")
CI3 = ci_factor_mixed(Indic,c(1:3), method="CH", dim=2)

```

---

ci\_generalized\_mean     *Weighting method based on generalized mean*

---

**Description**

Generalized means are a family of functions for aggregating sets of numbers (it include as special cases the Pythagorean means, arithmetic, geometric, and harmonic means). The generalized mean is also known as power mean or Holder mean.

**Usage**

```
ci_generalized_mean(x, indic_col, p, na.rm=TRUE)
```

**Arguments**

x	A data.frame containing simple indicators.
indic_col	Simple indicators column number.
p	Exponent $p$ (real number).
na.rm	Remove NA values before processing; default is TRUE.

**Value**

An object of class "CI". This is a list containing the following elements:

ci_generalized_mean_est	Composite indicator estimated values.
ci_method	Method used; for this function ci_method="generalized_mean".

**Note**

The *generalized mean* with the exponent  $p$  can be expressed as:

$$M_p(I_1, \dots, I_n) = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I_i^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Particular case are:  $p = -\infty$ : minimum,  $p = -1$ : harmonic mean,  $p = 0$ : geometric mean,  $p = 1$ : arithmetic mean,  $p = 2$ : root-mean-square and  $p = \infty$ : maximum.

**Author(s)**

Vidoli F.

**See Also**

[ci\\_geom\\_gen](#), [ci\\_factor](#)

**Examples**

```
i1 <- seq(0.3, 0.5, len = 100) - rnorm(100, 0.2, 0.03)
i2 <- seq(0.3, 1, len = 100) - rnorm(100, 0.2, 0.03)
Indic = data.frame(i1, i2)
CI = ci_generalized_mean(Indic, p=-1) # harmonic mean

data(EU_NUTS1)
CI = ci_generalized_mean(EU_NUTS1,c(2:3),p=2) # geometric mean
```

---

ci\_geom\_bod\_intertemp *Intertemporal analysis for geometric mean quantity index numbers*

---

**Description**

Intertemporal analysis for geometric mean quantity index numbers with Benefit-of-the-Doubt weights - see function [ci\\_bod\\_constr](#).

**Usage**

```
ci_geom_bod_intertemp(x0,x1,indic_col,up_w,low_w,bench)
```

**Arguments**

x0	A data.frame containing simple indicators - time 0
x1	A data.frame containing simple indicators - time 1
indic_col	A numeric list indicating the positions of the simple indicators.
up_w	Weights upper bound.
low_w	Weights lower bound.
bench	Row number of the benchmark unit

**Value**

An object of class "CI". This is a list containing the following elements:

```
ci_geom_bod_intertemp_est
  A matrix containing the Overall Change (period t1 vs t0), the Change Effect
  (period t1 vs t0), the Benchmark Effect (period t1 vs t0) and Weight Effect
  (period t1 vs t0).
ci_method
  Method used; for this function ci_method="Intertemporal_effects_Geometric_BoD".
```

**Author(s)**

Rogge N., Vidoli F.

**References**

Van Puyenbroeck T. and Rogge N. (2017) "*Geometric mean quantity index numbers with Benefit-of-the-Doubt weights*", European Journal of Operational Research, Volume 256, Issue 3, Pages 1004 - 1014

**See Also**

[ci\\_bod\\_constr](#), [ci\\_bod](#)

**Examples**

```
i1_t1 <- seq(0.3, 0.5, len = 100)
i2_t1 <- seq(0.3, 1, len = 100)
Indic_t1 = data.frame(i1_t1, i2_t1)

i1_t0 <- i1_t1 - rnorm(100, 0.2, 0.03)
i2_t0 <- i2_t1 - rnorm(100, 0.2, 0.03)
Indic_t0 = data.frame(i1_t0, i2_t0)

intertemp = ci_geom_bod_intertemp(Indic_t0, Indic_t1, c(1:2), up_w=0.95, low_w=0.05, 1)
intertemp
```

---

ci\_geom\_gen

*Generalized geometric mean quantity index numbers*

---

**Description**

This function use the geometric mean to aggregate the single indicators. Two weighting criteria has been implemented: EQUAL: equal weighting and BOD: Benefit-of-the-Doubt weights following the Puyenbroeck and Rogge (2017) approach.

**Usage**

```
ci_geom_gen(x, indic_col, meth, up_w, low_w, bench)
```

**Arguments**

x	A data.frame containing simple indicators.
indic_col	A numeric list indicating the positions of the simple indicators.
meth	"EQUAL" = Equal weighting set, "BOD" = Benefit-of-the-Doubt weighting set.
up_w	if meth="BOD"; upper bound of the weighting set.
low_w	if meth="BOD"; lower bound of the weighting set.
bench	Row number of the benchmark unit used to normalize the data.frame x.

**Value**

An object of class "CI". This is a list containing the following elements:

If meth = "EQUAL":

ci_mean_geom_est	: Composite indicator estimated values.
ci_method	: Method used; for this function ci_method="mean_geom".

If meth = "BOD":

ci_geom_bod_est	: Constrained composite indicator estimated values.
ci_geom_bod_weights	: Raw constrained weights assigned to the simple indicators.
ci_method	: Method used; for this function ci_method="geometric_bod".

**Author(s)**

Rogge N., Vidoli F.

**References**

Van Puyenbroeck T. and Rogge N. (2017) "*Geometric mean quantity index numbers with Benefit-of-the-Doubt weights*", European Journal of Operational Research, Volume 256, Issue 3, Pages 1004 - 1014

**See Also**

[ci\\_bod\\_dir](#), [ci\\_bod](#)

**Examples**

```

i1 <- seq(0.3, 1, len = 100) - rnorm(100, 0.1, 0.03)
i2 <- seq(0.3, 0.5, len = 100) - rnorm(100, 0.1, 0.03)
i3 <- seq(0.3, 0.5, len = 100) - rnorm(100, 0.1, 0.03)
Indic = data.frame(i1, i2, i3)

geom1 = ci_geom_gen(Indic, c(1:3), meth = "EQUAL")
geom1$ci_mean_geom_est
geom1$ci_method

geom2 = ci_geom_gen(Indic, c(1:3), meth = "BOD", 0.7, 0.3, 100)
geom2$ci_geom_bod_est
geom2$ci_geom_bod_weights

```

---

ci\_mean\_min

*Mean-Min Function*


---

**Description**

The Mean-Min Function (MMF) is an intermediate case between arithmetic mean, according to which no unbalance is penalized, and min function, according to which the penalization is maximum. It depends on two parameters that are respectively related to the intensity of penalization of unbalance ( $\alpha$ ) and intensity of complementarity ( $\beta$ ) among indicators.

**Usage**

```
ci_mean_min(x, indic_col, alpha, beta)
```

**Arguments**

x	A data.frame containing simple indicators.
indic_col	Simple indicators column number.
alpha	The intensity of penalisation of unbalance among indicators, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$
beta	The intensity of complementarity among indicators, $\beta \geq 0$

**Value**

An object of class "CI". This is a list containing the following elements:

ci_mean_min_est	Composite indicator estimated values.
ci_method	Method used; for this function ci_method="mean_min".

**Author(s)**

Vidoli F.

**References**

Casadio Tarabusi, E., & Guarini, G. (2013) "An unbalance adjustment method for development indicators", *Social indicators research*, 112(1), 19-45.

**See Also**

[ci\\_mpi](#), [normalise\\_ci](#)

**Examples**

```
data(EU_NUTS1)
data_norm = normalise_ci(EU_NUTS1,c(2:3),c("NEG","POS"),method=2)
CI = ci_mean_min(data_norm$ci_norm, alpha=0.5, beta=1)
```

---

ci\_mpi

*Mazziotta-Pareto Index (MPI) method*


---

**Description**

Mazziotta-Pareto Index (MPI) is a non-linear composite index method which transforms a set of individual indicators in standardized variables and summarizes them using an arithmetic mean adjusted by a "penalty" coefficient related to the variability of each unit (method of the coefficient of variation penalty).

**Usage**

```
ci_mpi(x, indic_col, penalty="POS")
```

**Arguments**

x	A data.frame containing simple indicators.
indic_col	Simple indicators column number.
penalty	Penalty direction; Use "POS" (default) in case of 'increasing' or 'positive' composite index (e.g., well-being index), "NEG" in case of 'decreasing' or 'negative' composite index (e.g., poverty index).

**Value**

An object of class "CI". This is a list containing the following elements:

ci_mpi_est	Composite indicator estimated values.
ci_method	Method used; for this function ci_method="mpi".

**Author(s)**

Vidoli F.

**References**

De Muro P., Mazziotta M., Pareto A. (2011), "*Composite Indices of Development and Poverty: An Application to MDGs*", Social Indicators Research, Volume 104, Number 1, pp. 1-18.

**See Also**

[ci\\_bod](#), [normalise\\_ci](#)

**Examples**

```
data(EU_NUTS1)

# Please, pay attention. MPI can be calculated only with two standardizations methods:
# Classic MPI - method=1, z.mean=100 and z.std=10
# Correct MPI - method=2
# For more info, please see references.

data_norm = normalise_ci(EU_NUTS1,c(2:3),c("NEG","POS"),method=1,z.mean=100, z.std=10)
CI = ci_mpi(data_norm$ci_norm, penalty="NEG")

data(EU_NUTS1)
CI = ci_mpi(EU_NUTS1,c(2:3),penalty="NEG")
```

---

ci\_ogwa

---

*Ordered Geographically Weighted Average (OWA)*


---

**Description**

The Ordered Geographically Weighted Averaging (OWA) operator is an extension of the multi-criteria decision aggregation method called OWA (Yager, 1988) that accounts for spatial heterogeneity.

**Usage**

```
ci_ogwa(x, id, indic_col, atleastjp, coords,
        kernel = "bisquare", adaptive = F, bw,
        p = 2, theta = 0, longlat = F, dMat)
```

**Arguments**

x	A data.frame containing score of the simple indicators.
id	Units' unique identifier.
indic_col	Simple indicators column number.

coords	A two-column matrix of latitude and longitude coordinates.
atleastjp	Fuzzy linguistic quantifier "At least j".
kernel	function chosen as follows: gaussian: $wgt = \exp(-.5*(vdist/bw)^2)$ ; exponential: $wgt = \exp(-vdist/bw)$ ; bisquare: $wgt = (1-(vdist/bw)^2)^2$ if $vdist < bw$ , $wgt=0$ otherwise; tricube: $wgt = (1-(vdist/bw)^3)^3$ if $vdist < bw$ , $wgt=0$ otherwise; boxcar: $wgt=1$ if $dist < bw$ , $wgt=0$ otherwise.
adaptive	if TRUE calculate an adaptive kernel where the bandwidth (bw) corresponds to the number of nearest neighbours (i.e. adaptive distance); default is FALSE, where a fixed kernel is found (bandwidth is a fixed distance).
bw	bandwidth used in the weighting function.
p	the power of the Minkowski distance, default is 2, i.e. the Euclidean distance.
theta	an angle in radians to rotate the coordinate system, default is 0.
longlat	if TRUE, great circle distances will be calculated.
dMat	a pre-specified distance matrix, it can be calculated by the function <a href="#">gw.dist</a> .

### Value

An object of class "CI". This is a list containing the following elements:

CI_OGWA_n	Composite indicator estimated values for OGWA-.
CI_OGWA_p	Composite indicator estimated values for OGWA+.
wp	OGWA weights' vector "More than j".
wn	OGWA weights' vector "At least j".
ci_method	Method used; for this function <code>ci_method="ogwa"</code> .

### Author(s)

Fusco E., Liborio M.P.

### References

Fusco, E., Liborio, M.P., Rabiei-Dastjerdi, H., Vidoli, F., Brunson, C. and Ekel, P.I. (2023), Harnessing Spatial Heterogeneity in Composite Indicators through the Ordered Geographically Weighted Averaging (OGWA) Operator. *Geographical Analysis*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gean.12384>

### See Also

[ci\\_owa](#)

### Examples

```
data(data_HPI)

data_HPI_2019 = data_HPI[data_HPI$year==2019,]
Indic_name = c("Life_Expectancy", "Ladder_of_life", "Ecological_Footprint")

Indic_norm = normalise_ci(data_HPI_2019, Indic_name, c("POS", "POS", "NEG"), method=2)$ci_norm
```



```

Indic_norm = Indic_norm[Indic_norm$Life_Expectancy>0 &
  Indic_norm$Ladder_of_life>0 &
  Indic_norm$Ecological_Footprint >0,]

Indic_CI = data.frame(Indic_norm,
  data_HPI_2019[rownames(Indic_norm),
  c("lat", "long", "HPI", "ISO", "Country")])

atleast = 2

coord = Indic_CI[,c("lat", "long")]

CI_ogwa_n = ci_ogwa(Indic_CI, id="ISO",
  indic_col=c(1:3),
  atleastjp=atleast,
  coords=as.matrix(coord),
  kernel = "gaussian",
  adaptive=FALSE,
  longlat=FALSE)$CI_OGWA_n

#CI_ogwa_p = ci_ogwa(Indic_CI, id="ISO",
#  #         indic_col=c(1:3),
#  #         atleastjp=atleast,
#  #         coords=as.matrix(coord),
#  #         kernel = "gaussian",
#  #         adaptive=FALSE,
#  #         longlat=FALSE)$CI_OGWA_p

```

---

ci\_owa

*Ordered Weighted Average (OWA)*


---

### Description

The Ordered Weighted Averaging (OWA) operator is a multi-criteria decision aggregation method that is structurally non-compensatory (Yager, 1988).

### Usage

```
ci_owa(x, id, indic_col, atleastjp)
```

### Arguments

x	A data.frame containing score of the simple indicators.
id	Units' unique identifier.
indic_col	Simple indicators column number.
atleastjp	Fuzzy linguistic quantifier "At least j".

**Value**

An object of class "CI". This is a list containing the following elements:

CI_OWA_n	Composite indicator estimated values for OWA-.
CI_OWA_p	Composite indicator estimated values for OWA+.
wp	OWA weights' vector "More than j".
wn	OWA weights' vector "At least j".
ci_method	Method used; for this function ci_method="owa".

**Author(s)**

Fusco E., Liborio M.P.

**References**

Yager, R. R. (1988). On ordered weighted averaging aggregation operators in multicriteria decision making. *IEEE Transactions on systems, Man, and Cybernetics*, 18(1), 183-190.

**See Also**

[ci\\_ogwa](#)

**Examples**

```
data(data_HPI)

data_HPI = data_HPI[complete.cases(data_HPI),]
data_HPI_2019 = data_HPI[data_HPI$year==2019,]

Indic_name = c("Life_Expectancy", "Ladder_of_life", "Ecological_Footprint")
Indic_norm = data.frame("ISO"=data_HPI_2019$ISO,
                        normalise_ci(data_HPI_2019[, Indic_name],
                                      c(1:3),
                                      c("POS", "POS", "NEG"),
                                      method=2)$ci_norm)

Indic_norm = Indic_norm[Indic_norm$Life_Expectancy>0 &
                        Indic_norm$Ladder_of_life>0 &
                        Indic_norm$Ecological_Footprint >0 ,]

atleast = 2
CI_owa_n = ci_owa(Indic_norm, id="ISO",
                  indic_col=c(2:4),
                  atleastjp=atleast)$CI_OWA_n
CI_owa_p = ci_owa(Indic_norm, id="ISO",
                  indic_col=c(2:4),
                  atleastjp=atleast)$CI_OWA_p
```

---

`ci_rbod`*Robust Benefit of the Doubt approach (RBoD)*

---

**Description**

Robust Benefit of the Doubt approach (RBoD) is the robust version of the BoD method. It is based on the concept of the expected minimum input function of order- $m$  so "in place of looking for the lower boundary of the support of  $F$ , as was typically the case for the full-frontier (DEA or FDH), the order- $m$  efficiency score can be viewed as the expectation of the maximal score, when compared to  $m$  units randomly drawn from the population of units presenting a greater level of simple indicators", Daraio and Simar (2005).

**Usage**

```
ci_rbod(x, indic_col, M, B)
```

**Arguments**

<code>x</code>	A data.frame containing score of the simple indicators.
<code>indic_col</code>	Simple indicators column number.
<code>M</code>	The number of elements in each of the bootstrapped samples.
<code>B</code>	The number of bootstrap replicates.

**Value**

An object of class "CI". This is a list containing the following elements:

<code>ci_rbod_est</code>	Composite indicator estimated values.
<code>ci_method</code>	Method used; for this function <code>ci_method="rbod"</code> .

**Author(s)**

Vidoli F.

**References**

Daraio, C., Simar, L. "Introducing environmental variables in nonparametric frontier models: a probabilistic approach", Journal of productivity analysis, 2005, 24(1), 93 - 121.

Vidoli F., Mazziotta C., "Robust weighted composite indicators by means of frontier methods with an application to European infrastructure endowment", Statistica Applicata, Italian Journal of Applied Statistics, 2013.

**See Also**

[ci\\_bod](#)

**Examples**

```

i1 <- seq(0.3, 0.5, len = 100) - rnorm(100, 0.2, 0.03)
i2 <- seq(0.3, 1, len = 100) - rnorm(100, 0.2, 0.03)
Indic = data.frame(i1, i2)
CI = ci_rbod(Indic,B=10)

data(EU_NUTS1)
data_norm = normalise_ci(EU_NUTS1,c(2:3),polarity = c("POS","POS"), method=2)
CI = ci_rbod(data_norm$ci_norm,c(1:2),M=10,B=20)

```

---

ci_rbod_constr_bad	<i>Robust constrained Benefit of the Doubt approach (BoD) in presence of undesirable indicators</i>
--------------------	---

---

**Description**

The Robust constrained Benefit of the Doubt function introduces additional constraints to the weight variation in the optimization procedure (Constrained Virtual Weights Restriction) allowing to restrict the importance attached to a single indicator expressed in percentage terms, ranging between a lower and an upper bound (VWR); this function, furthermore, allows to calculate the composite indicator simultaneously in presence of undesirable (bad) and desirable (good) indicators allowing to impose a preference structure (ordVWR). This function is the robust version of the ci\_bod\_constr\_bad: it is based on the concept of the expected minimum input function of order- $m$  (Daraio and Simar, 2005) allowing to compare the unit under analysis against  $M$  peers by extracting  $B$  samples with replacement.

**Usage**

```
ci_rbod_constr_bad(x, indic_col, ngood=1, nbad=1, low_w=0, pref=NULL, M, B)
```

**Arguments**

x	A data.frame containing simple indicators.
indic_col	A numeric list indicating the positions of the simple indicators.
ngood	The number of desirable outputs; it has to be greater than 0.
nbad	The number of undesirable outputs; it has to be greater than 0.
low_w	Importance weights lower bound.
pref	The preference vector among indicators; For example if Indic1 is the most important, Indic2,Indic3 are more important than Indic4 and no preference judgment on Indic5 (= not included in the vector), the pref vector can be written as: c("Indic1", "Indic2", "Indic3", "Indic4")
M	The number of elements in each of the bootstrapped samples.
B	The number of bootstrap replicates.

**Value**

An object of class "CI". This is a list containing the following elements:

```
ci_rbod_constr_bad_est
      Composite indicator estimated values.

ci_method      Method used; for this function ci_method="rbod_constr_bad".

ci_rbod_constr_bad_weights
      Raw weights assigned to each simple indicator.

ci_rbod_constr_bad_target
      Indicator target values.
```

**Author(s)**

Fusco E., Rogge N.

**References**

Rogge N., de Jaeger S. and Lavigne C. (2017) "*Waste Performance of NUTS 2-regions in the EU: A Conditional Directional Distance Benefit-of-the-Doubt Model*", *Ecological Economics*, vol.139, pp. 19-32.

Zanella A., Camanho A.S. and Dias T.G. (2015) "*Undesirable outputs and weighting schemes in composite indicators based on data envelopment analysis*", *European Journal of Operational Research*, vol. 245(2), pp. 517-530.

**See Also**

[ci\\_bod\\_constr](#), [ci\\_bod\\_constr\\_bad](#)

**Examples**

```
data(EU_2020)
indic <- c("employ_2011", "percGDP_2011", "gasemiss_2011", "deprived_2011")
dat <- EU_2020[-c(10,18),indic]

# Robust BoD Constrained VWR
CI_BoD_C = ci_rbod_constr_bad(dat, ngood=2, nbad=2, low_w=0.05, pref=NULL, M=10, B=50)
CI_BoD_C$ci_rbod_constr_bad_est

# Robust BoD Constrained ordVWR
importance <- c("gasemiss_2011", "percGDP_2011", "employ_2011")
CI_BoD_C = ci_rbod_constr_bad(dat, ngood=2, nbad=2, low_w=0.05, pref=importance, M=10, B=50)
CI_BoD_C$ci_rbod_constr_bad_est
```

---

ci\_rbod\_constr\_bad\_Q *Conditional robust constrained Benefit of the Doubt approach (BoD) in presence of undesirable indicators*

---

## Description

The Conditional robust constrained Benefit of the Doubt function introduces additional constraints to the weight variation in the optimization procedure (Constrained Virtual Weights Restriction) allowing to restrict the importance attached to a single indicator expressed in percentage terms, ranging between a lower and an upper bound (VWR); this function, furthermore, allows to calculate the composite indicator simultaneously in presence of undesirable (bad) and desirable (good) indicators allowing to impose a preference structure (ordVWR). This function, in addition to being robust against outlier data (see ci\_rbod\_constr\_bad function) allows to take into account external contextual continuous (Q) or/and ordinal (Q\_ord) variables.

## Usage

```
ci_rbod_constr_bad_Q(x, indic_col, ngood=1, nbad=1,
  low_w=0, pref=NULL, M, B, Q=NULL, Q_ord=NULL, bandwidth)
```

## Arguments

x	A data.frame containing simple indicators.
indic_col	A numeric list indicating the positions of the simple indicators.
ngood	The number of desirable outputs; it has to be greater than 0.
nbad	The number of undesirable outputs; it has to be greater than 0.
low_w	Importance weights lower bound.
pref	The preference vector among indicators; For example if Indic1 is the most important, Indic2,Indic3 are more important than Indic4 and no preference judgment on Indic5 (= not included in the vector), the pref vector can be written as: c("Indic1", "Indic2", "Indic3", "Indic4")
M	The number of elements in each of the bootstrapped samples.
B	The number of bootstrap replicates.
Q	A matrix containing continuous exogenous variables.
Q_ord	A matrix containing discrete exogenous variables.
bandwidth	Multivariate mixed bandwidth for exogenous variables; it can be calculated by bandwidth_CI function.

## Value

An object of class "CI". This is a list containing the following elements:

```
ci_rbod_constr_bad_Q_est
  Composite indicator estimated values.
```

ci\_method           Method used; for this function ci\_method="rbod\_constr\_bad\_Q".  
 ci\_rbod\_constr\_bad\_Q\_weights  
                     Raw weights assigned to each simple indicator.  
 ci\_rbod\_constr\_bad\_Q\_target  
                     Indicator target values.

### Author(s)

Fusco E., Rogge N.

### References

Rogge N., de Jaeger S. and Lavigne C. (2017) "*Waste Performance of NUTS 2-regions in the EU: A Conditional Directional Distance Benefit-of-the-Doubt Model*", *Ecological Economics*, vol.139, pp. 19-32.

Zanella A., Camanho A.S. and Dias T.G. (2015) "*Undesirable outputs and weighting schemes in composite indicators based on data envelopment analysis*", *European Journal of Operational Research*, vol. 245(2), pp. 517-530.

### See Also

[ci\\_rbod\\_constr\\_bad](#), [ci\\_bod\\_constr\\_bad](#)

### Examples

```
data(EU_2020)

indic <- c("employ_2011", "gasemiss_2011", "deprived_2011")
dat   <- EU_2020[-c(10,18),indic]
Q_GDP <- EU_2020[-c(10,18),"percGDP_2011"]

# Conditional robust BoD Constrained VWR
band = bandwidth_CI(dat, ngood=1, nbad=2, Q = Q_GDP)

CI_BoD_C = ci_rbod_constr_bad_Q(dat,
                                ngood=1,
                                nbad=2,
                                low_w=0.05,
                                pref=NULL,
                                M=10,
                                B=50,
                                Q=Q_GDP,
                                bandwidth = band$bandwidth)
CI_BoD_C$ci_rbod_constr_bad_Q_est

# # Conditional robust BoD Constrained ordVWR
# import <- c("gasemiss_2011","employ_2011", "deprived_2011")
#
# CI_BoD_C2 = ci_rbod_constr_bad_Q(dat,
#                                   ngood=1,
```

```
#                               nbad=2,
#                               low_w=0.05,
#                               pref=import,
#                               M=10,
#                               B=50,
#                               Q=Q_GDP,
#                               bandwidth = band$bandwidth)
# CI_BoD_C2$ci_rbod_constr_bad_Q_est
```

---

ci\_rbod\_dir

*Directional Robust Benefit of the Doubt approach (D-RBoD)*


---

### Description

Directional Robust Benefit of the Doubt approach (D-RBoD) is the directional robust version of the BoD method.

### Usage

```
ci_rbod_dir(x,indic_col,M,B,dir)
```

### Arguments

x	A data.frame containing score of the simple indicators.
indic_col	Simple indicators column number.
M	The number of elements in each of the bootstrapped samples.
B	The number of bootstrap replicates.
dir	Main direction. For example you can set the average rates of substitution.

### Value

An object of class "CI". This is a list containing the following elements:

ci_rbod_dir_est	Composite indicator estimated values.
ci_method	Method used; for this function ci_method="rbod_dir".

### Author(s)

Fusco E., Vidoli F.

### References

Daraio C., Simar L., "Introducing environmental variables in nonparametric frontier models: a probabilistic approach", Journal of productivity analysis, 2005, 24(1), 93 121.

Simar L., Vanhems A., "Probabilistic characterization of directional distances and their robust versions", Journal of Econometrics, 2012, 166(2), 342 354.

Vidoli F., Fusco E., Mazziotta C., "Non-compensability in composite indicators: a robust directional frontier method", Social Indicators Research, Springer Netherlands.



**See Also**

[ci\\_bod](#), [ci\\_rbod](#)

**Examples**

```
data(EU_NUTS1)
data_norm = normalise_ci(EU_NUTS1,c(2:3),polarity = c("POS", "POS"), method=2)
CI = ci_rbod_dir(data_norm$ci_norm, c(1:2), M = 25, B = 50, c(1,0.1))
```

---

ci\_rbod\_mdir

*Robust multi-directional Benefit of the Doubt approach (MDRBoD)*


---

**Description**

Robust Multi-directional Benefit of the Doubt (MDRBoD) allows to introduce the non-compensability among simple indicators in a standard Robust BOD in an objective manner: the preference structure, i.e., the direction, is determined directly from the data and is specific for each unit and these estimated values are calculated as the reference sample varies in order to smooth out the effect of outliers or out-of-range data.

**Usage**

```
ci_rbod_mdir(x,indic_col,M, B, interval)
```

**Arguments**

x	A data.frame containing simple indicators.
indic_col	A numeric list indicating the positions of the simple indicators.
M	The number of elements in each of the bootstrapped samples.
B	The number of bootstrap replicates.
interval	Desired probability for Student distribution [see function qt()]; default = 0.05.

**Value**

An object of class "CI". This is a list containing the following elements:

ci_rbod_mdir_est	Composite indicator estimated values.
conf	lower_ci and upper_ci; Estimated confidence interval for the composite indicator estimated values.
ci_method	Method used; for this function ci_method="rbod_mdir".
ci_rbod_mdir_spec	Simple indicators specific scores.
ci_rbod_mdir_dir	Directions for each simple indicator and unit.

**Author(s)**

Vidoli F.

**References**

F. Vidoli, E. Fusco, G. Pignataro, C. Guccio (2024) "Multi-directional Robust Benefit of the Doubt model: An application to the measurement of the quality of acute care services in OECD countries", Socio-Economic Planning Sciences. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seps.2024.101877>

**See Also**

[ci\\_rbod](#), [ci\\_bod\\_mdir](#)

**Examples**

```
data(BLI_2017)
CI <- ci_rbod_mdir(BLI_2017,c(2:12), M=10,B=20, interval=0.05)
```

---

<code>ci_rbod_spatial</code>	<i>Spatial robust Benefit of the Doubt approach (Sp-RBoD)</i>
------------------------------	---

---

**Description**

The Spatial robust Benefit of the Doubt approach (Sp-RBoD) method allows to take into account the spatial contextual condition into the robust Benefit of the Doubt method.

**Usage**

```
ci_rbod_spatial(x, indic_col, M=20, B=100, W)
```

**Arguments**

<code>x</code>	A data.frame containing score of the simple indicators.
<code>indic_col</code>	Simple indicators column number.
<code>M</code>	The number of elements in each of the bootstrapped samples; default is 20.
<code>B</code>	The number of bootstrap replicates; default is 100.
<code>W</code>	The spatial weights matrix. A square non-negative matrix with no NAs representing spatial weights; may be a matrix of class "sparseMatrix" (spdep package)

**Value**

An object of class "CI". This is a list containing the following elements:

<code>ci_rbod_spatial_est</code>	Composite indicator estimated values.
<code>ci_method</code>	Method used; for this function <code>ci_method="rbod_spatial"</code> .

**Author(s)**

Fusco E., Vidoli F.

**References**

Fusco E., Vidoli F., Sahoo B.K. (2018) "*Spatial heterogeneity in composite indicator: a methodological proposal*", Omega, Vol. 77, pp. 1-14

**See Also**[ci\\_rbod](#)**Examples**

```
data(EU_NUTS1)

coord = EU_NUTS1[,c("Long", "Lat")]
k<-knearneigh(as.matrix(coord), k=5)
k_nb<-knn2nb(k)
W_mat <-nb2mat(k_nb,style="W",zero.policy=TRUE)

CI = ci_rbod_spatial(EU_NUTS1,c(2:3),M=10,B=20, W=W_mat)
```

---

ci_smaa_constr	<i>Constrained stochastic multi-objective acceptability analysis (C-SMAA)</i>
----------------	---

---

**Description**

Stochastic multiobjective acceptability analysis (SMAA) is a multicriteria decision support technique for multiple decision makers based on exploring the weight space. Inaccurate or uncertain input data can be represented as probability distributions. In SMAA the decision makers need not express their preferences explicitly or implicitly; instead the technique analyses what kind of valuations would make each alternative the preferred one. The method produces for each alternative an acceptability index measuring the variety of different valuations that support that alternative, a central weight vector representing the typical valuations resulting in that decision, and a confidence factor measuring whether the input data is accurate enough for making an informed decision. (R Lahdelma, J. Hokkanen and P. Salminen, 1998); this function, in particular, allows to restricts the range of allowable weights within the SMAA analysis.

**Usage**

```
ci_smaa_constr(x,indic_col,rep, label, low_w=NULL)
```

**Arguments**

x	A data.frame containing simple indicators.
indic_col	A numeric list indicating the positions of the simple indicators.
rep	Number of samples.
label	A factor column useful to identify units.
low_w	Importance weights lower bound vector; default is NULL (for standard SMAA)

**Details**

Author thanks Giuliano Resce and Raffaele Lagravinese for their help and for making available the original code of the SMAA function. The lower bound vector must be set as a vector of the same size as the number of simple indicators; for example - in the presence of two indicators - if you want to constrain only one indicator, you must write: `low_w = c(0, 0.2)`.

**Value**

An object of class "CI". This is a list containing the following elements:

ci_smaa_constr_rank_freq	Frequency of the SMAA ranks based on the sampled alternatives' values. The rows represent the analysis units while the first column represents the number of times the unit was in first rank, the second one in second rank and so on.
ci_smaa_constr_average_rank	The average rank.
ci_smaa_constr_values	The alternative values based on a set of samples from the criteria values distribution and the samples set from the feasible weight space.
ci_method	Method used; for this function <code>ci_method="smaa_const"</code> .

**Author(s)**

Vidoli F.

**References**

- R. Lahdelma, P. Salminen (2001) "*SMAA-2: Stochastic multicriteria acceptability analysis for group decision making*", *Operations Research*, 49(3), pp. 444-454
- S. Greco, A. Ishizaka, B. Matarazzo and G. Torrisi (2017) "*Stochastic multi-attribute acceptability analysis (SMAA): an application to the ranking of Italian regions*", *Regional Studies*
- R. Lagravinese, P. Liberati and G. Resce (2017) "*Exploring health outcomes by stochastic multi-objective acceptability analysis: an application to Italian regions*", Working Papers. Collection B: Regional and sectoral economics, 1703, Universidade de Vigo, GEN - Governance and Economics research Network.

**See Also**

[ci\\_bod](#)

**Examples**

```

# ----- Define a function for plotting a matrix ----- #
myImagePlot <- function(x, ...){
  min <- min(x)
  max <- max(x)
  yLabels <- rownames(x)
  xLabels <- colnames(x)
  title <-c()
  # check for additional function arguments
  if( length(list(...)) ){
    Lst <- list(...)
    if( !is.null(Lst$zlim) ){
      min <- Lst$zlim[1]
      max <- Lst$zlim[2]
    }
    if( !is.null(Lst$yLabels) ){
      yLabels <- c(Lst$yLabels)
    }
    if( !is.null(Lst$xLabels) ){
      xLabels <- c(Lst$xLabels)
    }
    if( !is.null(Lst$title) ){
      title <- Lst$title
    }
  }
  # check for null values
  if( is.null(xLabels) ){
    xLabels <- c(1:ncol(x))
  }
  if( is.null(yLabels) ){
    yLabels <- c(1:nrow(x))
  }
}

layout(matrix(data=c(1,2), nrow=1, ncol=2), widths=c(4,1), heights=c(1,1))

# Red and green range from 0 to 1 while Blue ranges from 1 to 0
ColorRamp <- rgb( seq(0,1,length=256), # Red
                seq(0,1,length=256), # Green
                seq(1,0,length=256)) # Blue
ColorLevels <- seq(min, max, length=length(ColorRamp))

# Reverse Y axis
reverse <- nrow(x) : 1
yLabels <- yLabels[reverse]
x <- x[reverse,]

# Data Map
par(mar = c(3,5,2.5,2))
image(1:length(xLabels), 1:length(yLabels), t(x), col=ColorRamp, xlab="",
      ylab="", axes=FALSE, zlim=c(min,max))

```

```

if( !is.null(title) ){
  title(main=title)
}
axis(BELOW<-1, at=1:length(xLabels), labels=xLabels, cex.axis=0.7)
axis(LEFT <-2, at=1:length(yLabels), labels=yLabels, las= HORIZONTAL<-1,
cex.axis=0.7)

# Color Scale
par(mar = c(3,2.5,2.5,2))
image(1, ColorLevels,
      matrix(data=ColorLevels, ncol=length(ColorLevels),nrow=1),
      col=ColorRamp,
      xlab="",ylab="",
      xaxt="n")

layout(1)
}
# ----- END plot function ----- #

data(EU_NUTS1)

# Standard SMAA
test <- ci_smaa_constr(EU_NUTS1,c(2,3), rep=200, label = EU_NUTS1[,1])
# source("http://www.phaget4.org/R/myImagePlot.R")
# myImagePlot(test$ci_smaa_constr_rank_freq)
test$ci_smaa_constr_average_rank

# Constrained SMAA
test2 <- ci_smaa_constr(EU_NUTS1,c(2,3), rep=200, label = EU_NUTS1[,1], low_w=c(0.2,0.2) )
# myImagePlot(test2$ci_smaa_constr_rank_freq)
test2$ci_smaa_constr_average_rank

```

---

ci\_wroclaw

*Wroclaw Taxonomic Method*


---

### Description

Wroclaw taxonomy method (also known as the dendric method), originally developed at the University of Wroclaw, is based on the distance from a theoretical unit characterized by the best performance for all indicators considered; the composite indicator is therefore based on the sum of euclidean distances from the ideal unit and normalized by a measure of variability of these distance (mean + 2\*std).

### Usage

```
ci_wroclaw(x,indic_col)
```

**Arguments**

x                    A data.frame containing simple indicators.  
 indic\_col          Simple indicators column number.

**Details**

Please pay attention that *ci\_wroclaw\_est* is the distance from the "ideal" unit; so, units with higher values for the simple indicators get lower values of composite indicator.

**Value**

An object of class "CI". This is a list containing the following elements:

ci\_wroclaw\_est    Composite indicator estimated values.  
 ci\_method        Method used; for this function ci\_method="wroclaw".

**Author(s)**

Vidoli F.

**References**

UNESCO, *"Social indicators: problems of definition and of selection"*, Paris 1974.

Mazziotta C., Mazziotta M., Pareto A., Vidoli F., *"La sintesi di indicatori territoriali di dotazione infrastrutturale: metodi di costruzione e procedure di ponderazione a confronto"*, Rivista di Economia e Statistica del territorio, n.1, 2010.

**See Also**

[ci\\_bod](#), [ci\\_mpi](#)

**Examples**

```
i1 <- seq(0.3, 0.5, len = 100) - rnorm(100, 0.2, 0.03)
i2 <- seq(0.3, 1, len = 100) - rnorm(100, 0.2, 0.03)
Indic = data.frame(i1, i2)
CI = ci_wroclaw(Indic)

data(EU_NUTS1)
CI = ci_wroclaw(EU_NUTS1,c(2:3))

data(EU_2020)
data_selez = EU_2020[,c(1,22,191)]
data_norm = normalise_ci(data_selez,c(2:3),c("POS","NEG"),method=3)
ci_wroclaw(data_norm$ci_norm,c(1:2))
```

---

data\_HPI

*Happy Planet Index 2017-2019 indicators*

---

### Description

Data related to Happy Planet Index for 151 countries and the period 2017-2019.

For more info, please see <https://happyplanetindex.org>.

### Usage

```
data(data_HPI)
```

### Format

data\_HPI is a dataset with 453 observations and 10 variables.

**Country** Country name

**ISO** ISO code

**year** Years 2017-2019

**Continent** Continent

**Population** Population (thousands)

**Life\_Expectancy** Life Expectancy (years)

**Ladder\_of\_life** Ladder of life (Wellbeing) (0-10)

**Ecological\_Footprint** Ecological Footprint (g ha)

**HPI** HPI

**GDP\_per\_capita** GDP per capita (\$)

### Author(s)

Fusco E.

### References

<https://happyplanetindex.org>

### Examples

```
data(data_HPI)
```



EU\_2020

*Europe 2020 indicators***Description**

Europe 2020, a strategy for jobs and smart, sustainable and inclusive growth, is based on five EU headline targets which are currently measured by eight headline indicators, Headline indicators, Eurostat, year 1990-2012 (Last update: 21/11/2013).

For more info, please see <https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/en/web/products-statistics-in-focus/-/KS-SF-12-039>.

**Usage**

```
data(EU_2020)
```

**Format**

EU\_2020 is a dataset with 30 observations and 12 indicators (190 indicator per year).

**geo** EU-Member States including EU (28 countries) and EU (27 countries) row.

**employXXXX** Employment rate - age group 20-64, year XXXX (1992-2012).

**perc\_GDPXXXX** Gross domestic expenditure on R&D (GERD), year XXXX (1990-2012).

**gas\_emissXXXX** Greenhouse gas emissions - base year 1990, year XXXX (1990-2011).

**share\_renXXXX** Share of renewable energy in gross final energy consumption, year XXXX (2004-2011).

**prim\_enerXXXX** Primary energy consumption, year XXXX (1990-2011).

**final\_energyXXXX** Final energy consumption, year XXXX (1990-2011).

**final\_energyXXXX** Early leavers from education and training - Perc. of the population aged 18-24 with at most lower secondary education and not in further education or training, year XXXX (1992-2012).

**tertiaryXXXX** Tertiary educational attainment - age group 30-34, year XXXX (2000-2012).

**risk\_povertyXXXX** People at risk of poverty or social exclusion - 1000 persons Perc. of total population, year XXXX (2004-2012).

**low\_workXXXX** People living in households with very low work intensity - 1000 persons Perc. of total population, year XXXX (2004-2012).

**risk\_povertyXXXX** People at risk of poverty after social transfers - 1000 persons Perc. of total population, year XXXX (2003-2012).

**deprivedXXXX** Severely materially deprived people - 1000 persons Perc. of total population, year XXXX (2003-2012).

**Author(s)**

Vidoli F.

**Examples**

```
data(EU_2020)
```

---

EU\_NUTS1

*EU NUTS1 Transportation data*

---

**Description**

Eurostat regional transport statistics (reg\_tran) data, year 2012.

**Usage**

```
data(EU_NUTS1)
```

**Format**

EU\_NUTS1 is a dataset with 34 observations and two indicators describing transportation infrastructure endowment of the main (in terms of population and GDP) European NUTS1 regions: France, Germany, Italy, Spain (United Kingdom has been omitted, due to lack of data concerning railways).

**roads** Calculated as  $(2 * \text{Motorways} - \text{Kilometres per } 1000 \text{ km}^2 + \text{Other roads} - \text{Kilometres per } 1000 \text{ km}^2) / 3$

**trains** Calculated as  $(2 * \text{Railway lines double} + \text{Electrified railway lines}) / 3$

**Author(s)**

Vidoli F.

**References**

Vidoli F., Mazziotta C., "*Robust weighted composite indicators by means of frontier methods with an application to European infrastructure endowment*", *Statistica Applicata, Italian Journal of Applied Statistics*, 2013.

**Examples**

```
data(EU_NUTS1)
```

---

normalise_ci	<i>Normalisation and polarity functions</i>
--------------	---

---

**Description**

This function lets to normalise simple indicators according to the polarity of each one.

**Usage**

```
normalise_ci(x, indic_col, polarity, method=1, z.mean=0, z.std=1, ties.method="average")
```

**Arguments**

x	A data frame containing simple indicators.
indic_col	Simple indicators column number.
method	Normalisation methods: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>1</b> (default) = standardization or z-scores using the following formulation: <math display="block">z_{ij} = z.mean \pm \frac{x_{ij} - M_{x_j}}{S_{x_j}} \cdot z.std</math> <p>where <math>\pm</math> depends on <i>polarity</i> parameter and <i>z.mean</i> and <i>z.std</i> represent the shifting parameters.</p> </li> <li>• <b>2</b> = Min-max method using the following formulation: <p>if <i>polarity</i>="POS":</p> <math display="block">\frac{x - \min(x)}{\max(x) - \min(x)}</math> <p>if <i>polarity</i>="NEG":</p> <math display="block">\frac{\max(x) - x}{\max(x) - \min(x)}</math> </li> <li>• <b>3</b> = Ranking method. If <i>polarity</i>="POS" ranking is increasing, while if <i>polarity</i>="NEG" ranking is decreasing.</li> </ul>
polarity	Polarity vector: "POS" = positive, "NEG" = negative. The polarity of a individual indicator is the sign of the relationship between the indicator and the phenomenon to be measured (e.g., in a well-being index, "GDP per capita" has 'positive' polarity and "Unemployment rate" has 'negative' polarity).
z.mean	If method=1, Average shifting parameter. Default is 0.
z.std	If method=1, Standard deviation expansion parameter. Default is 1.
ties.method	If method=3, A character string specifying how ties are treated, see <a href="#">rank</a> for details. Default is "average".

**Value**

ci_norm	A data.frame containing normalised score of the choosen simple indicators.
norm_method	Normalisation method used.

**Author(s)**

Vidoli F.

**References**

OECD, "*Handbook on constructing composite indicators: methodology and user guide*", 2008, pag.30.

**See Also**

[ci\\_bod](#), [ci\\_mpi](#)

**Examples**

```
data(EU_NUTS1)

# Standard z-scores normalisation #
data_norm = normalise_ci(EU_NUTS1,c(2:3),c("NEG", "POS"),method=1,z.mean=0, z.std=1)
summary(data_norm$ci_norm)

# Normalisation for MPI index #
data_norm = normalise_ci(EU_NUTS1,c(2:3),c("NEG", "POS"),method=1,z.mean=100, z.std=10)
summary(data_norm$ci_norm)

data_norm = normalise_ci(EU_NUTS1,c(2:3),c("NEG", "POS"),method=2)
summary(data_norm$ci_norm)
```

# Index

bandwidth\_CI, 3  
BLI\_2017, 4

ci\_ampi, 5  
ci\_bod, 6, 7, 9, 12, 14, 15, 17, 19, 20, 23, 27,  
33, 36, 39, 44  
ci\_bod\_constr, 8, 11, 18, 19, 29  
ci\_bod\_constr\_bad, 10, 29, 31  
ci\_bod\_dir, 8, 9, 11, 13, 20  
ci\_bod\_mdir, 12, 34  
ci\_bod\_var\_w, 13  
ci\_factor, 15, 17, 18  
ci\_factor\_mixed, 16  
ci\_generalized\_mean, 17  
ci\_geom\_bod\_intertemp, 18  
ci\_geom\_gen, 18, 19  
ci\_mean\_min, 21  
ci\_mpi, 15, 22, 22, 39, 44  
ci\_ogwa, 23, 26  
ci\_owa, 24, 25  
ci\_rbod, 8, 12, 14, 27, 33–35  
ci\_rbod\_constr\_bad, 28, 31  
ci\_rbod\_constr\_bad\_Q, 30  
ci\_rbod\_dir, 32  
ci\_rbod\_mdir, 13, 33  
ci\_rbod\_spatial, 34  
ci\_smaa\_constr, 35  
ci\_wroclaw, 38  
Compind-package, 2

data\_HPI, 40

EU\_2020, 41  
EU\_NUTS1, 42

gw.dist, 24

normalise\_ci, 6, 22, 23, 43

rank, 43